

FACULTY BOARD EXECUTIVE, FACULTY OF HEALTH SCIENCES
Minutes of Meeting, November 6, 2013
Kelly Boardroom, Macklem House, 18 Barrie St.
2:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m.

PRESENT: R. Reznick, D. Edgar, J. Medves, M. Finlayson, C.. Chauvin, J. Searle, MJ. Essau, H. Abdollah (Chair)

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 2:05 p.m.

2. Approval of the Agenda

The Agenda was approved as circulated.

3. Approval of the Executive Minutes of June 11, 2013

It was moved by J. Medves and seconded by M. Finlayson that the minutes be approved as circulated. CARRIED

4. School of Medicine

- **UGME Governance Structure**
- **UGME Program Executive Committee Revised Terms of Reference**
- **UGME Admissions Committee Revised Terms of Reference**
- **UGME Curriculum Committee Revised Terms of Reference**
- **UGME Progress, Promotion & Remediation Committee Revised Terms of Reference**
- **PGME Tribunal Membership**
- **PGME Education Advisory Board**
- **PGM Education Committee Revised Terms of Reference**
- **PGME Resident Harassment Policy Revised Terms of Reference**

D. Edgar reported that all the above documents distributed with the agenda have been previously vetted and approved by the School of Medicine Academic Council (SOMAC) and have come to this forum for final approval.

R. Reznick highlighted a few of the above documents

- The new Governance Structure is now better aligned with the new curriculum. The current committees were overloaded so there was a need to distribute the duties in a more responsible way. The Chairs of these committees will now have more responsibilities so there was a need to create Directorships and these terms have also been distributed with the Governance structure. All these changes will allow the UGME office to meet the accreditation standards.
- The above PG Tribunal was a required component of the newly revised resident “Assessment, Promotion and Appeals” document. R. Reznick reported that it becomes an issue of patient safety when a resident is allowed to re-enter the clinical settings without the proper skill set. This led to now having the final

appeal with a highly qualified Tribunal and no longer being heard at a University committee.

During discussion, the School of Rehabilitation Therapy and the School of Nursing will review the Postgraduate appeal policy within their own School as they may have to adopt a similar policy.

It was suggested that possibly a Faculty-wide Tribunal to hear appeals from all clinical students.

As all documents were distributed with the agenda prior to the meeting it was asked that one motion be put forward to approve them all.

MOTION:

It was moved by J. Medves and seconded by M. Finlayson that the revised UGME Governance Structure with the Directorship terms as well as the revised UGME committee terms of references distributed and the PGME Tribunal membership, Education Advisory Board terms of reference, PGME Committee revised terms and the revised PGME Resident Harassment Policy be approved as circulated.

CARRIED

5. Engagement of faculty – Discussion on how to make the annual meeting of Faculty Board more dynamic rather than just a reporting function

- **Globe & Mail article – “Specialize or risk losing funding, Ontario tells universities & colleges”**
- **Globe & Mail article – “Are we serving the public or the providers?”**
- **Globe & Mail article – “For a new kind of professor teaching comes first”**

D. Edgar reported that the attendance at our annual Faculty Board meeting in February has been very poor. The reason being that each School now have an Academic Council to vet and approve changes to their governance and Faculty Board has become a repetition of these Councils. As bylaw requires that we have a Faculty Board the FHS has created Faculty Board Executive who can give final approval on documents throughout the year, and then have one annual Faculty Board of all faculty in February. So we are looking for suggestions on how to entice our members to attend the one meeting a year of Faculty Board. Some suggestions were:

- Call in speakers
- Have debates with topics that affect all three schools
- Panel discussions
- Have discussions on future plans for students, future of the Canadian Health Care, Future employment for our students, Health care landscape for all students in all three of our schools.

D. Edgar, Secretary to the faculty will look into getting guest speaker(s) with a panel of student and faculty from each school and have a discussion on the speakers topic.

The members of this forum all agreed to this plan of action.

6. Other Business

J. Searle showed everyone the new NSS crest newly designed for the Nursing Student Society.

There being no other business the meeting was adjourned at 2:45 p.m. by C. Chauvin and J. Searle.

David R. Edgar
Secretary to the Faculty